arXiv:math/0307285v2 [math.FA] 23 Jul 2003

ON IDEALS OF POLYNOMIALS AND THEIR APPLICATIONS

DANIEL M. PELLEGRINO

Abstract. In this paper we obtain some statements concerning ideals of polynomials and apply these results in a number of different situations. Among other results, we present new characterizations of $\mathcal{L}_\infty$-spaces, Coincidence theorems, Dvoretzky-Rogers and Extrapolation type theorems for dominated polynomials.

1. Introduction, notations and background

The notion of operators ideals goes back to Grothendieck [10] and its natural extension to polynomials and multilinear mappings is credited to Pietsch [23]. We prove some results on ideals of polynomials and obtain, as corollaries and particular cases, new properties concerning dominated, almost summing, integral polynomials and related ideals. Among other results, we obtain Extrapolation and Dvoretzky-Rogers type theorems for special ideals of polynomials, and prove new characterizations of $\mathcal{L}_\infty$-spaces, extending results of Stegall-Retherford [25] and Cilia-D'Anna-Gutiérrez [6].

Throughout this paper $E, E_1, ..., E_n, F, G, G_1, ..., G_n, H$ will stand for (real or complex) Banach spaces. Given a natural number $n \geq 2$, the Banach spaces of all continuous n-linear mappings from $E_1 \times ... \times E_n$ into F endowed with the sup norm will be denoted by $\mathcal{L}(E_1, ..., E_n;F)$ and the Banach space of all continuous n-homogeneous polynomials P from E into F with the sup norm is denoted by $\mathcal{P}(^nE;F)$. If T is a multilinear mapping and P is the polynomial generated by T, we write $P = \hat{T}$. Conversely, for the (unique) symmetric n-linear mapping associated to an n-homogeneous polynomial P we use the symbol $\overset{\vee}{P}$. For $i = 1, ..., n$, $\Psi_i^{(n)} : \mathcal{L}(E_1, ..., E_n; F) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(E_i; \mathcal{L}(E_1, \overset{[i]}{\dots}, E_n; F))$ will represent the canonical isometric isomorphism defined by

$$\Psi_i^{(n)}(T)(x_i)(x_1 \overset{[i]}{\dots} x_n) = T(x_1, ..., x_n),$$

where the notation $\overset{[i]}{\dots}$ means that the i-th coordinate is not involved.

Definition 1. *An ideal of multilinear mappings $\mathfrak{M}$ is a subclass of the class of all continuous multilinear mappings between Banach spaces such that for all index n and E_1,...,E_n, the components $\mathfrak{M}(E_1, ..., E_n; F) = \mathcal{L}(E_1, ..., E_n; F) \cap \mathfrak{M}$ satisfy:*

(i) $\mathfrak{M}(E_1, ..., E_n; F)$ is a linear subspace of $\mathcal{L}(E_1, ..., E_n; F)$ which contains the n-linear mappings of finite type.

(ii) If $A \in \mathfrak{M}(E_1, ..., E_n; F)$, $u_j \in \mathcal{L}(G_j; E_j)$ for $j = 1, ..., n$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}(F; H)$, then $\varphi A(u_1, ..., u_n) \in \mathfrak{M}(G_1, ..., G_n; H)$.

An ideal of (homogeneous) polynomials $\mathfrak{P}$ is a subclass of the class of all continuous homogeneous polynomials between Banach spaces such that for all index n and all E and F, the components $\mathfrak{P}(^nE; F) = \mathcal{P}(^nE; F) \cap \mathfrak{P}$ satisfy:

(i) $\mathfrak{P}(^nE; F)$ is a linear subspace of $\mathcal{P}(^nE; F)$ which contains the polynomials of finite type.

(ii)If $P \in \mathfrak{P}(^nE; F)$, $\varphi_1 \in \mathcal{L}(G; E)$ and $\varphi_2 \in \mathcal{L}(F; H)$, then $\varphi_2 P \varphi_1 \in \mathfrak{P}(^nG; H)$.

There are several different ways to create ideals of polynomials and multilinear mappings. We are mainly interested in two methods that we will call (following [3]) factorization and linearization:

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary. 46G25, Secondary. 46B15.

This work is partially supported by Instituto do Milênio, IMPA.

- (Factorization method) If $\mathfrak{I}$ is an operator ideal, a given $T \in \mathcal{L}(E_1, ..., E_n; F)$ is of type $\mathcal{L}[\mathfrak{I}]$ ($T \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}[\mathfrak{I}]}(E_1, ..., E_n; F)$) if there are Banach spaces $G_1, ..., G_n$, linear operators $\varphi_j \in \mathfrak{I}(E_j; G_j)$, $j = 1, ..., n$, and $R \in \mathcal{L}(G_1, ..., G_n; F)$ such that $T = R(\varphi_1, ..., \varphi_n)$. A given $P \in \mathcal{P}(^nE; F)$ is of type $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{L}[\mathfrak{I}]}$ ($P \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{L}[\mathfrak{I}]}(^nE; F)$) if there exist a Banach space G, a linear operator $\varphi \in \mathfrak{I}(E; G)$ and $Q \in \mathcal{P}(^nG; F)$ such that $P = Q\varphi$.

It is well known that $P \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{I})}(^nE; F) \Leftrightarrow \overset{\vee}{P} \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{I})}(^nE; F)$.

- (Linearization method) If $\mathfrak{I}$ is an operator ideal, a given $T \in \mathcal{L}(E_1, ..., E_n; F)$ is of type $[\mathfrak{I}]$ ($T \in \mathcal{L}_{[\mathfrak{I}]}(E_1, ..., E_n; F)$) if $\Psi_i^{(n)}(T) \in \mathfrak{I}(E_i; \mathcal{L}(E_1, \overset{[i]}{...}, E_n))$ for every $i = 1, ..., n$. We say that $P \in \mathcal{P}(^nE; F)$ is of type $\mathcal{P}_{[\mathfrak{I}]}$ ($P \in \mathcal{P}_{[\mathfrak{I}]}(^nE; F)$) if $\overset{\vee}{P}$ is of type $[\mathfrak{I}]$.

The classes $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{L}[\mathfrak{I}]}$ and $\mathcal{P}_{[\mathfrak{I}]}$ are ideals of polynomials (see [3],[4],[9]). For details on ideals of operators we refer to [24], and for the theory of polynomials on infinite dimensions we mention [8] and [15].

If $\mathfrak{I}$ is the ideal as, p of absolutely p-summing operators, by a standard use of Ky Fan's Lemma it is not hard to obtain the following characterization for the symmetric n-linear mappings of type $[as, p]$:

Proposition 1. *A continuous (symmetric) multilinear mapping $T : E \times ... \times E \to F$ is of type $[as, p]$ if, and only if, there exist $C \geq 0$ and a regular probability measure $\mu \in P(B_{E'})$ such that*

$$\|T(x_1, ..., x_n)\| \leq C \|x_1\| ... \|x_{n-1}\| \left[\int_{B_{E'}} |\varphi(x_n)|^p \, d\mu(\varphi) \right]^{\frac{1}{p}}. \tag{1.1}$$

2. Preliminary results

The well known Grothendieck-Pietsch Domination Theorem tells that a continuous linear operator $T : E \to F$ is absolutely p-summing if, and only if, there exist $C \geq 0$ and a regular probability measure $\mu \in P(B_{E'})$ such that

$$\|T(x)\| \leq C \left[\int_{B_{E'}} |\varphi(x)|^r \, d\mu(\varphi) \right]^{\frac{1}{r}} \tag{2.1}$$

for every x in E.

The concept of p-dominated polynomials (multilinear mappings) is one of the most natural generalizations of absolutely summing operators and has been broadly investigated (more information can be found in [2],[12],[14],[18],[21]). We say that an n-homogeneous polynomial is said to be p-dominated if there exist $C \geq 0$ and a regular probability measure $\mu \in P(B_{E'})$, such that

$$\|P(x)\| \leq C \left[\int_{B_{E'}} |\varphi(x)|^p \, d\mu(\varphi) \right]^{\frac{n}{p}}. \tag{2.2}$$

We write $\mathcal{P}_{d,p}(^nE; F)$ to denote the space of p-dominated n-homogeneous polynomials from E into F. It is well known that $\mathcal{P}_{d,p}(^nE; F) = \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{L}[as,p]}(^nE; F)$.

The following simple lemma, which proof we omit, will be useful later:

Lemma 1. *If $\mathfrak{I}_1$ and $\mathfrak{I}_2$ are ideals of polynomials, and $\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{I}_1}(E; F) \subset \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{I}_2}(E; F)$ for every F, then, for every m and every F,*

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{L}[\mathfrak{I}_1]}(^mE; F) \subset \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{L}[\mathfrak{I}_2]}(^mE; F) \text{ and } \mathcal{P}_{[\mathfrak{I}_1]}(^mE; F) \subset \mathcal{P}_{[\mathfrak{I}_2]}(^mE; F).$$

In particular, if $\mathcal{L}_{as,p}(E; F) = \mathcal{L}_{as,q}(E; F)$ for every F, then, for every m and every F,

$$\mathcal{P}_{d,p}(^mE; F) = \mathcal{P}_{d,q}(^mE; F) \text{ and } \mathcal{P}_{[as,p]}(^mE; F) = \mathcal{P}_{[as,q]}(^mE; F).$$

The next two propositions generalize [14, Theorems 16 and 17].

Proposition 2. *If E is a Banach space with cotype 2 then for any Banach space F, every n and every $p \leq 2$, we have*

$$\mathcal{P}_{[as,p]}({}^nE;F) = \mathcal{P}_{[as,2]}({}^nE;F) \text{ and } \mathcal{P}_{d,p}({}^nE;F) = \mathcal{P}_{d,2}({}^nE;F). \tag{2.3}$$

Proof. From a linear result of Maurey (see [26, Theorem 36]) we have $\mathcal{L}_{as,p}({}^nE;F) = \mathcal{L}_{as,2}({}^nE;F)$ for every Banach space F. Call on Lemma 1 and obtain (2.3).□

Proposition 3. *If E is a Banach space with cotype q, $2 < q < \infty$, then for any Banach space F and every n, we have*

$$\mathcal{P}_{[as,r]}({}^nE;F) = \mathcal{P}_{[as,1]}({}^nE;F) \text{ and } \mathcal{P}_{d,r}({}^nE;F) = \mathcal{P}_{d,1}({}^nE;F) \tag{2.4}$$

for all $1 < r < q'$, where $\frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{q'} = 1$.

Proof. A linear result due to Maurey (see [7, Corollary 11.6]) asserts that if E has cotype q, $2 < q < \infty$, then

$$\mathcal{L}_{as,r}({}^nE;F) = \mathcal{L}_{as,1}({}^nE;F)$$

for all $1 < r < q'$ and every F. Again, we just need to use Lemma 1.□

The following proposition will play an important role in several situations. In particular, we will obtain new characterizations of $\mathcal{L}_\infty$-spaces, extending results of Stegall-Retherford [25] and Cilia-D'Anna-Gutiérrez [6], Extrapolations Theorems and results of Dvoretzky-Rogers type for some classes of polynomials.

Proposition 4. *If $\mathcal{I}_1$ and $\mathcal{I}_2$ are ideals of polynomials such that $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{I}_1}({}^nE;F) \subset \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{I}_2}({}^nE;F)$ for some Banach spaces E and F, some natural number n and suppose that the following hold true:*

(i)If $P \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{I}_2}({}^nE;F)$, then $\overset{\vee}{P}(.,a,...,a) \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{I}_2}(E;F)$ for every $a \in E$, fixed.

(ii) If $P \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{I}_1}({}^mE;F)$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}(E;\mathbb{K})$, then $P.\varphi \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{I}_1}({}^{m+1}E;F)$, for all $m < n$.

Then $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{I}_1}(E;F) \subset \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{I}_2}(E;F)$.

Proof. If $T \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{I}_1}(E;F)$, then define $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}(E;\mathbb{K})$, $\varphi \neq 0$ and $a \in E$ such that $\varphi(a) = 1$. Consider the following n-homogeneous polynomial:

$$R(x) = \varphi(x)^{n-1}T(x).$$

By applying (ii), $R \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{I}_1}({}^nE;F) \subset \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{I}_2}({}^nE;F)$. Thus, (i) yields that $\overset{\vee}{R}(.,a,...,a) \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{I}_2}(E;F)$ and hence

$$\frac{1}{n}T + \frac{n-1}{n}T(a)\varphi \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{I}_2}(E;F).$$

Since $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}(E;\mathbb{K}) = \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{I}_2}(E;\mathbb{K})$, we obtain $T \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{I}_2}(E;F)$.□

We have the following straightforward consequences:

Corollary 1. *If $\mathcal{I}_1$ and $\mathcal{I}_2$ are ideals of polynomials such that $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{I}_1}({}^nE;F) = \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{I}_2}({}^nE;F)$ for some Banach spaces E and F, some natural number n and suppose that the following hold true:*

(i) If $P \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{I}_i}({}^nE;F)$, then $\overset{\vee}{P}(.,a,...,a) \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{I}_i}(E;F)$ for every $a \in E$, fixed and $i = 1, 2$.

(ii) If $P \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{I}_i}({}^mE;F)$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}(E;\mathbb{K})$, then $P.\varphi \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{I}_i}({}^{m+1}E;F)$, for all $m < n$ and $i = 1, 2$.

Then $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{I}_1}(E;F) = \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{I}_2}(E;F)$.

Corollary 2. *If $\mathcal{I}$ is an ideal of polynomials and $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{I}}({}^nE;F) = \mathcal{P}({}^nE;F)$ for some Banach spaces E and F, some natural number n and*

$$P \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{I}}({}^nE;F) \Rightarrow \overset{\vee}{P}(.,a,...,a) \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{I}}(E;F)$$

for every $P \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{I}}({}^nE;F)$ and every $a \in E$, fixed, then $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{I}}(E;F) = \mathcal{L}(E;F)$.

Although Proposition 4 and Corollaries 1 and 2 suffice to our aims, it is worth remarking that it is possible to obtain completer results, as we can see on the following result, whose proof we omit.

Theorem 1. *If $\mathcal{I}_1$ and $\mathcal{I}_2$ are ideals of polynomials such that $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{I}_1}(^nE;F) \subset \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{I}_2}(^nE;F)$ for some Banach spaces E and F, some natural number n and suppose that the following hold true:*

(i) If $P \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{I}_i}(^mE;F)$, then $\overset{\vee}{P}(.,a^k) \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{I}_i}(^{m-k}E;F)$ for all $m \leq n$, every $k = 1,...,m-1$, $a \in E$, fixed and $i = 1,2$.

(ii) If $P \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{I}_i}(^mE;F)$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}(E;\mathbb{K})$, then $P.\varphi \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{I}_i}(^{m+1}E;F)$, for all $m < n$ and $i = 1,2$.

Then

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{I}_1}(^jE;F) \subset \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{I}_2}(^jE;F)$$

for all $j = 1,...,n$. In particular, if $\mathcal{I}$ is an ideal of polynomials and $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{I}}(^nE;F) = \mathcal{P}(^nE;F)$ for some natural n and

(i) If $P \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{I}}(^mE;F)$, then $\overset{\vee}{P}(.,a^k) \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{I}}(E;F)$ for every $k = 1,...,m-1$, $a \in E$, fixed, and $m \leq n$.

(ii) If $T \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{I}}(^mE;F)$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}(E;\mathbb{K})$, then $T.\varphi \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{I}}(^{m+1}E;F)$, for all $m \leq n$,

then

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{I}}(^jE;F) = \mathcal{P}(^jE;F)\ \forall j = 1,...,n-1.$$

Particular cases of this kind of structural property, called "decreasing scale property" (see G. Botelho [3]), have been previously studied in [3], [20]). In the next sections we will prove that several ideals of polynomials satisfy the hypothesis of Theorem 1. On the other hand, it shall be emphasized that it is not difficult to find well known ideals of polynomials which do not satisfy the decreasing scale property. In fact, denoting by $(\mathcal{P}_{as,1}(^nE;F))_n$ the ideal of absolutely 1-summing polynomials endowed with the canonical norm (see [1] or [18] for the definition of absolutely summing polynomials), one can easily prove (exploring cotype properties) that $\mathcal{P}_{as,1}(^nl_1;l_1) = \mathcal{P}(^nl_1;l_1)$,for every $n \geq 2$ and $\mathcal{L}_{as,1}(l_1;l_1) \neq \mathcal{L}(l_1;l_1)$.

3. Dvoretzky-Rogers and Extrapolation type Theorems

An interesting consequence of Proposition 4 is the following:

Theorem 2. *(Dvoretzky-Rogers Theorem for $[al]$) If E is a Banach space, then*

$$\mathcal{P}_{[al]}(^nE;E) = \mathcal{P}(^nE;E) \Leftrightarrow \dim E < \infty.$$

Proof. It is routine to verify that $[al]$ satisfy the hypothesis of Corollary 2. Then we just need to call on the Dvoretzky-Rogers Theorem for almost summing operators.□

Since, by Lemma 1, $\mathcal{P}_{d,p}(^nE;F) \subset \mathcal{P}_{[al]}(^nE;F)$, the Dvoretzky-Rogers Theorem for $[al]$ generalizes the Dvoretzky Rogers Theorem for dominated polynomials, due to Matos ([13]). For other concepts and results concerning almost summing mappings we refer to [19].

The next theorem lift to homogeneous polynomials a linear Extrapolation Theorem:

Theorem 3. *(Extrapolation Theorems) Let E be a Banach space and let $1 \leq q$. Suppose that for* some *natural n and* some *number p, $0 < p < q$ we have*

$$\mathcal{P}_{d,p}(^nE;F) = \mathcal{P}_{d,q}(^nE;F) \text{ or } \mathcal{P}_{[as,p]}(^nE;F) = \mathcal{P}_{[as,q]}(^nE;F) \text{ for all Banach spaces } F. \tag{3.1}$$

Then, for all Banach spaces F, all *numbers p such that $0 < p < q$ and* all *natural m, we have*

$$\mathcal{P}_{d,p}(^mE;F) = \mathcal{P}_{d,q}(^mE;F) \text{ and } \mathcal{P}_{[as,p]}(^mE;F) = \mathcal{P}_{[as,q]}(^mE;F).$$

Proof. Firstly, we shall prove that ($\mathcal{I}_1 = [as,p]$, $\mathcal{I}_2 = [as,q]$) and ($\mathcal{I}_1 = d,p$, $\mathcal{I}_2 = d,q$) satisfy the hypothesis of the Corollary 1. The proof that (ii) and (iii) of Corollary 1 hold for d,p and d,q is straightforward (it suffices to call on the Grothendieck-Pietsch Domination Theorem). In order to verify (i) for $[as,p]$ and $[as,q]$, we proceed as follows:

If $P \in \mathcal{P}_{[as,r]}(^nE;F)$ and $r = p$ or q, then $\overset{\vee}{P} \in \mathcal{L}_{[as,r]}(^nE;F)$ and thus there exist (from Proposition 1) $C \geq 0$ and a regular probability measure $\mu \in P\left(B_{E'}\right)$, such that

$$\left\| \overset{\vee}{P}\left(x_1,...,x_n\right) \right\| \leq C \left\|x_2\right\| ... \left\|x_n\right\| \left[\int_{B_{E'}} \left|\varphi\left(x_1\right)\right|^r d\mu\left(\varphi\right) \right]^{\frac{1}{r}}$$

and hence

$$\left\| \overset{\vee}{P}(x, a, ..., a) \right\| \leq C \left\| a \right\|^{n-1} \left[\int_{B_{E'}} \left| \varphi(x) \right|^{r} d\mu(\varphi) \right]^{\frac{1}{r}}.$$

Thus $\overset{\vee}{P}(., a, ..., a) \in \mathcal{L}_{as,r}(E; F)$.

For the proof of (ii) for $[as, r]$, and $r = p$ or q, let us consider $P \in \mathcal{P}_{[as,r]}({}^{m}E; F)$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}(E; \mathbb{K})$. Then, defining the $(n+1)$-linear mapping $R(x_1, ..., x_n) = \frac{1}{n+1}\varphi(x_1)\overset{\vee}{P}(x_2, ..., x_{n+1}) + ...+ \frac{1}{n+1}\varphi(x_{n+1})\overset{\vee}{P}(x_1, ..., x_n)$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} \left\| \Psi_1^{(m+1)}(R)(x_j) \right\|^{r} \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \\ & \leq \frac{n}{n+1} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} \left\| \Psi_1^{(m)}(\overset{\vee}{P})(x_j)\varphi \right\|^{r} \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} + \frac{1}{n+1} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} \left\| \varphi(x_j)\overset{\vee}{P} \right\|^{r} \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \\ & \leq \frac{n}{n+1} \left\| \varphi \right\| \left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} \left\| \Psi_1^{(m)}(\overset{\vee}{P})(x_j)\varphi \right\|^{r} \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} + \frac{1}{n+1} \left\| \overset{\vee}{P} \right\| \left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} \left\| \varphi(x_j) \right\|^{r} \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \\ & \leq \left(\frac{n}{n+1} \left\| \varphi \right\| \left\| \Psi_1^{(m)}(\overset{\vee}{P}) \right\|_{as,r} + \frac{1}{n+1} \left\| \overset{\vee}{P} \right\| \left\| \varphi \right\|_{as,r} \right) \left\| (x_j)_{j=1}^{k} \right\|_{w,r}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $R \in \mathcal{L}_{[as,r]}({}^{m+1}E; F)$ and hence $\varphi P = \hat{R} \in \mathcal{P}_{[as,r]}({}^{m+1}E; F)$ and (ii) of Corollary 1 is satisfied.

Finally, from (3.1), by applying Corollary 1 we obtain

$$\mathcal{L}_{as,p}(E; F) = \mathcal{L}_{as,q}(E; F)$$

for some p such that $0 < p < q$ and all Banach spaces F. From a linear Extrapolation Theorem due to Maurey (see [26]), we conclude that $\mathcal{L}_{as,p}(E; F) = \mathcal{L}_{as,q}(E; F)$ for all p such that $0 < p < q$ and all Banach spaces F. Call on Lemma 1 to complete the proof.$\square$

A slightly different Extrapolation Theorem for dominated polynomials can be found in [20] and [21].

4. CHARACTERIZATIONS OF $\mathcal{L}_{\infty}$-SPACES

The concept of $\mathcal{L}_p$-spaces, introduced by Lindenstrauss and Pełczyński, in the seminal paper "Absolutely summing operators in $\mathcal{L}_p$-spaces and their applications " [11] is a natural tool for a good understanding of several properties of operators between Banach spaces. Since then, characterizations of $\mathcal{L}_p$-spaces have been studied (see [25],[6], and [7] for other references). In this section we will give new characterizations of $\mathcal{L}_{\infty}$-spaces.

Firstly let us recall the definition of integral polynomials, due to Cilia-D 'Anna-Gutiérrez [6]. An m-homogeneous polynomial $P : E \rightarrow F$ is said to be integral if there exists $C \geq 0$ such that

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^{n} < \varphi_i, P(x_i) > \right| \leq C \sup_{\psi \in B_{E'}} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[\psi(x_i) \right]^{m} \varphi_i \right\|$$

for every natural n, every $(x_i)_{i=1}^{n}$ in E and all $(\varphi_j)_{j=1}^{n}$ in the dual of F.

Analogously, an n-linear mapping $T \in \mathcal{L}(E_1, ..., E_n; F)$ is said to be integral if there exists $C \geq 0$ such that

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^{n} < \varphi_i, T(x_i^{(1)}, ..., x_i^{(m)}) > \right| \leq C \sup_{\substack{\psi_k \in B_{E'_k} \\ k=1,...,m}} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[\psi_1(x_i^{(1)}) ... \psi_m(x_i^{(m)}) \right] \varphi_i \right\|$$

for every natural n, every $(x_i)_{i=1}^n$ in E and all $(\varphi_j)_{j=1}^n$ in the dual of F. It is not hard to see that the integral polynomials forms an ideal of polynomials and from now on it will be denoted by $\mathcal{I}$. If P is an integral (n-homogeneous) polynomial from E into F we write $P \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{I}}(^nE;F)$.

Lemma 2. *If* $\mathcal{P}_{d,1}(^mE;F) \subset \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{I}}(^mE;F)$, $\mathcal{P}_{[as,1]}(^mE;F) \subset \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{I}}(^mE;F)$, $\mathcal{P}_{[as,1]}(^mE;F) \subset \mathcal{P}_{[\mathcal{I}]}(^mE;F)$ *or* $\mathcal{P}_{d,1}(^mE;F) \subset \mathcal{P}_{[\mathcal{I}]}(^mE;F)$, *then*

$$\mathcal{L}_{as,1}(E;F) \subset \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{I}}(E;F).$$

Proof. The part $\mathcal{P}_{d,1}(^mE;F) \subset \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{I}}(^mE;F) \Rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{as,1}(E;F) \subset \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{I}}(E;F)$ is proved, using tensor products, in [6]. Here we give a different and non-tensorial proof. We just need to verify that the ideals of polynomials $d,1$, $[as,1]$ satisfy (ii) and $[\mathcal{I}]$ and $\mathcal{I}$ satisfy (i) of Proposition 4. The verification for $d,1$ and $[as,1]$ is already done in the proof of the Extrapolation Theorem. Concerning the ideal of integral polynomials, an adequate handling of the polarization formula yields to conclude that

$$P \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{I}}(^mE;F) \Leftrightarrow \overset{\vee}{P} \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{I}}(^mE;F).$$

Hence, if $P \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{I}}(^mE;F)$, then

$$\left|\sum_{i=1}^n < \varphi_i, \overset{\vee}{P}(x_i^{(1)},...,x_i^{(m)}) >\right| \le C \sup_{\substack{\psi_k \in B_{E'_k} \\ k=1,...,m}} \left\|\sum_{i=1}^n \left[\psi_1(x_i^{(1)})...\psi_m(x_i^{(m)})\right]\varphi_i\right\|.$$

Choosing $(x_i^{(1)})_{i=1}^n = (a,...,a)$,..., $(x_i^{(m-1)})_{i=1}^n = (a,...,a)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\sum_{i=1}^n < \varphi_i, \overset{\vee}{P}(a,...,a,x_i^{(m)}) >\right| &\le C \sup_{\substack{\psi_k \in B_{E'_k} \\ k=1,...,m}} \left\|\sum_{i=1}^n \left[\psi_1(a)...\psi_{m-1}(a)\psi_m(x_i^{(m)})\right]\varphi_i\right\| \\ &\le C\left\|a\right\|^{m-1} \sup_{\psi \in B_{E'}} \left\|\sum_{i=1}^n \psi(x_i^{(m)})\varphi_i\right\|\end{aligned}$$

and thus $\overset{\vee}{P}(a,...,a,.)$ is an integral operator and $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{I}}(^mE;F)$ satisfies (ii) of Proposition 4.

If $P \in \mathcal{P}_{[\mathcal{I}]}(^mE;F)$, then $\Psi_1^{(m)}(\overset{\vee}{P}) \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{I}}(E;\mathcal{L}(^{m-1}E;F))$. Hence, if $(\varphi_i)_{i=1}^n$ are in F', $(x_i)_{i=1}^n$ are in E and $a \in E$, define $\omega_i \in (\mathcal{L}(^{m-1}E;F))'$ by $\omega_i(T) = \varphi_i(T(a,...,a))$. We thus have

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\sum_{i=1}^n < \varphi_i, \overset{\vee}{P}(a,...,a,x_i) >\right| &= \left|\sum_{i=1}^n < \omega_i, \Psi_1^{(m)}(\overset{\vee}{P})(x_i) >\right| \\ &\le C \sup_{\psi \in B_{E'}} \left\|\sum_{i=1}^n \psi(x_i)\omega_i\right\| \\ &= C \sup_{\psi \in B_{E'}} \sup_{\|T\|=1} \left\|\sum_{i=1}^n \psi(x_i)\omega_i(T)\right\| \\ &= C \sup_{\psi \in B_{E'}} \sup_{\|T\|=1} \left\|\sum_{i=1}^n \psi(x_i)\varphi_i(T(a,...,a))\right\| \\ &\le C\left\|a\right\|^n \sup_{\psi \in B_{E'}} \left\|\sum_{i=1}^n \psi(x_i)\varphi_i\right\|\end{aligned}$$

and the proof is done.□

A linear Theorem due to Stegall-Retherford [25] states that a Banach space E is an $\mathcal{L}_\infty$-space if and only if $\mathcal{L}_{as,1}(E;F) \subset \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{I}}(E;F)$. In a recent paper, Cilia-D'Anna-Gutiérrez [6] extend the characterization of Stegall-Retherford and prove that a Banach space E is an $\mathcal{L}_\infty$-space if and only if $\mathcal{P}_{as,1}(^nE;F) \subset \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{I}}(^nE;F)$ for some (every) natural n. But, as it can be seen in the next result, we can push a little further:

Theorem 4. *Let E be a Banach space. The following are equivalent:*

(i) E is an $\mathcal{L}_\infty$-space;
(ii) for all natural n and every F, we have $\mathcal{P}_{d,1}(^nE;F) \subset \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{L}[\mathcal{I}]}(^nE;F)$;
(iii) there is a natural n such that for every F, we have $\mathcal{P}_{d,1}(^nE;F) \subset \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{L}[\mathcal{I}]}(^nE;F)$;
(iv) for all natural n and every F, we have $\mathcal{P}_{d,1}(^nE;F) \subset \mathcal{P}_{[\mathcal{I}]}(^nE;F)$;
(v) there is a natural n such that for every F, we have $\mathcal{P}_{d,1}(^nE;F) \subset \mathcal{P}_{[\mathcal{I}]}(^nE;F)$;
(vi) for all natural n and every F, $\mathcal{P}_{[as,1]}(^nE;F) \subset \mathcal{P}_{[\mathcal{I}]}(^nE;F)$;
(vii) there is a natural n such that for every F, we have $\mathcal{P}_{[as,1]}(^nE;F) \subset \mathcal{P}_{[\mathcal{I}]}(^nE;F)$;
(viii) for all natural n and every F, we have $\mathcal{P}_{d,1}(^nE;F) \subset \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{I}}(^nE;F)$;
(ix) there is a natural n such that for every F, we have $\mathcal{P}_{d,1}(^nE;F) \subset \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{I}}(^nE;F)$;

Proof. If E is an $\mathcal{L}_\infty$-space, by the linear characterization of $\mathcal{L}_\infty$-spaces, we have $\mathcal{L}_{as,1}(E;F) \subset \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{I}}(E;F)$, for every Banach space F. Now, since $\mathcal{P}_{d,1}(^nE;F) = \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{L}[as,1]}(^nE;F)$, Lemma 1 furnishes $\mathcal{P}_{d,1}(^nE;F) \subset \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{L}[\mathcal{I}]}(^nE;F)$ for every n, and hence (i)⇒(ii) is done.

(ii)⇒(iii) is obvious. In order to prove (iii)⇒(i) we just need to observe that we always have $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{L}[\mathcal{I}]}(^nE;F) \subset \mathcal{P}_{[\mathcal{I}]}(^nE;F)$. We thus have $\mathcal{P}_{d,1}(^nE;F) \subset \mathcal{P}_{[\mathcal{I}]}(^nE;F)$ and hence (by Lemma 2)

$$\mathcal{L}_{as,1}(E;F) \subset \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{I}}(E;F),$$

and consequently the linear characterization of $\mathcal{L}_\infty$-space asserts that E is an $\mathcal{L}_\infty$-space.

(ii)⇒(iv) is clear, since $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{L}[\mathcal{I}]}(^nE;F) \subset \mathcal{P}_{[\mathcal{I}]}(^nE;F)$. (iv)⇒(v) is obvious and (v)⇒(i) is a straightforward consequence of Lemma 2.

For the proof of (i)⇒(vi), if E is an $\mathcal{L}_\infty$-space, then $\mathcal{L}_{as,1}(E;F) \subset \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{I}}(E;F)$ for every F and hence Proposition 1 furnishes $\mathcal{P}_{[as,1]}(^nE;F) \subset \mathcal{P}_{[\mathcal{I}]}(^nE;F)$ for every n.

(vi)⇒(vii) is obvious. For (vii)⇒(i), we call on Lemma 2, obtain $\mathcal{L}_{as,1}(E;F) \subset \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{I}}(E;F)$ and one more time the linear characterization of $\mathcal{L}_\infty$-space yields that E is an $\mathcal{L}_\infty$-space.

Since $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{L}[\mathcal{I}]}(^nE;F) \subset \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{I}}(^nE;F)$ ([6, Corollary 2.7]) it is clear that (iii)⇒(viii). The proof of (viii)⇒(ix) is obvious and we obtain (ix)⇒(i) by invoking Lemma 2.

Remark 1. *It is relevant to verify that, for example, $\mathcal{P}_{[as,1]}(^nE;F)$ and $\mathcal{P}_{d,1}(^nE;F)$ are different spaces, in general. In fact, it can be proved (using a characterization of Hilbert Schmidt operators due to Pełczyński* [16]*), following a suggestion of M. C. Matos, that $P : l_2 \to \mathbb{K}$ given by $P(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j^\alpha} x_j^2$ with $\alpha = \frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon$ and $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{2}$ is such that $P \in \mathcal{P}_{[as,1]}(^2l_2;\mathbb{K})$ and $P \notin \mathcal{P}_{d,1}(^2l_2;\mathbb{K})$. The proof is given in* [22] *and* [20]*, but we sketch the reasoning, for completeness.*

One can verify that $\overset{\vee}{P} : l_2 \times l_2 \to \mathbb{K}$ is given by $\overset{\vee}{P}(x,y) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j^\alpha} x_j y_j$ and $(\frac{1}{j^\alpha})_{j=1}^{\infty} \in l_2$.

It suffices to prove that $\overset{\vee}{P}$ fails to be 1-dominated, and $\Psi_1^{(2)}(\overset{\vee}{P}) \in \mathcal{L}_{as,1}(l_2;l_2)$. Since

$$\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} \left\| \overset{\vee}{P}(e_j,e_j) \right\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)^2 = \left[\sum_{j=1}^{m} \left(\frac{1}{j^\alpha} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right]^2 \geq \left[\sum_{j=1}^{m} \left(\frac{1}{m^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}} \right) \right]^2 = m^{2-\alpha},$$

if we had

$$\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} \left\| \overset{\vee}{P}(e_j,e_j) \right\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)^2 \leq C \left\| (e_j)_{j=1}^{m} \right\|_{w,1}^2 ,$$

we would obtain $m^{2-\alpha} \leq C(m^{\frac{1}{2}})^2 = Cm$ and it is a contradiction since $\alpha < 1$.

In order to prove that $\Psi_1^{(2)}(\overset{\vee}{P}) \in \mathcal{L}_{as,1}(l_2; l_2)$, we shall note that

$$\Psi_1^{(2)}(\overset{\vee}{P})((x_j)_{j=1}^{\infty}) = \left(\frac{1}{j^{\alpha}} x_j\right)_{j=1}^{\infty}.$$

Now, a result of Pełczyński (see [11]) asserts that it suffices to show that $\Psi_1(\overset{\vee}{P})$ is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator. But is is easy to check, since $\sum\limits_{k=1}^{\infty} \left\| \Psi_1^{(2)}(\overset{\vee}{P})(e_k) \right\|_{l_2}^2 = \sum\limits_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{1}{k^{\alpha}}\right]^2 < \infty$.

REFERENCES

[1] R. Alencar and M. C. Matos, Some classes of multilinear mappings between Banach spaces, Publ. Dep. Análisis Mat. Univ. Complut. Madrid 12 (1989).
[2] G. Botelho, Cotype and absolutely summing multilinear mappings and homogeneous polynomials, Math. Proc. Roy. Irish Acad. Vol 97A, No **2** (1997), 145-153.
[3] G. Botelho, Ideals of polynomials generated by weakly compact operators, preprint.
[4] H. Braunss, Multi-ideals with special properties, Blatter Potsdamer Forschungen 1/87, Potsdam, 1987.
[5] H. Braunss and H. Junek, On types of polynomials and holomorphic functions on Banach spaces, Note Mat. X (1990), 47-58.
[6] R. Cilia, M. D'Anna and J. Gutiérrez, Polynomial characterization of $\mathcal{L}_{\infty}$-spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **275** (2002) 900-912.
[7] J. Diestel, H. Jarchow, A. Tonge, Absolutely Summing Operators, Cambridge University Press, 1995.
[8] S. Dineen, *Complex analysis on infinite dimensional spaces*, Springer, London, 1999.
[9] S. Geiss, Ein Faktorisierungssatz fur multilineare Funktionale, Math. Nachr. **134** (1987), 149-159.
[10] A. Grothendieck, Résumé de la théorie métrique des produits tensoriels topologiques, Bol. Soc. Mat. São Paulo **8** (1956), 1-79.
[11] J. Lindenstrauss and A. Pełczyński, Absolutely summing operators in $\mathcal{L}_p$ spaces and their applications, Studia Mathematica **29** (1968), 275-326.
[12] M. C. Matos, Absolutely summing holomorphic mappings, An. Acad. Bras. Ci., **68** (1996) 1-13.
[13] M. C. Matos, Nonlinear absolutely summing multilinear mappings between Banach spaces, to appear in Math. Nachr.
[14] Y. Meléndez and A. Tonge Polynomials and the Pietsch domination Theorem, Proceedings of the Royal Irish Academy **99A (2)**(1999), 195-212.
[15] J. Mujica, *Complex analysis in Banach spaces, North. Holland Math. Studies*, Vol 120, Amsterdam, 1986.
[16] A. Pełczyński, A characterization of Hilbert-Schmidt operators, Studia Math. **28** 1967, 355-360.
[17] D. M. Pellegrino, Almost summing mappings, to appear in Arch. Math. (Basel).
[18] D. M. Pellegrino, Cotype and absolutely summing homogeneous polynomials in $\mathcal{L}_p$-spaces, Studia Math. 157(2) (2003), 121-131.
[19] D. M. Pellegrino, Strongly almost summing holomorphic mappings, to appear in J. Math. Anal. Appl.
[20] D. M. Pellegrino, Aplicações entre espaços de Banach relacionadas à convergência de séries, Thesis, Universidade Estadual de Campinas, 2002.
[21] D. M. Pellegrino, Cotype and nonlinear absolutely summing mappings, preprint.
[22] D. M. Pellegrino, On Banach spaces whose duals are isomorphic to $l_1(\Gamma)$, preprint.
[23] A. Pietsch, Ideals of multilinear functionals (designs of a theory), Proceedings of the Second International Conference on Operator Algebras, Ideals and their Applications in Theoretical Physics, 185-199. Leipzig. Teubner-Texte, 1980.
[24] A. Pietsch, Operator ideals, North Holland Publishing Company, Amsterdam 1980.
[25] C. P. Stegall and J. R. Retherford, Fully nuclear and completely nuclear operators with applications to $\mathcal{L}_1$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\infty}$-spaces, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **163** (1972), 457-492.
[26] P. Wojtaszczyk, Banach spaces for Analysts, Cambridge Stud. Adv. Math. **25**, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge 1991.

(Daniel M. Pellegrino) DEPTO DE MATEMÁTICA E ESTATÍSTICA- CAIXA POSTAL 10044- UFCG- CAMPINA GRANDE-PB-BRAZIL

E-mail address: `dmp@dme.ufcg.edu.br`